

RECEIVED

JUL 23 2002

HJD
DB
7/23/02
PATENT

Attorney Docket No:
032301WN1181

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

JUL 22 2002

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

)

Madhavan NAMPOOTHIRI, *et al.*)

)

Serial No. : 09/855,750) Examiner: D. Steadman

)

Filed: May 16, 2001) Group Art Unit: 1652

For : NUCLEOTIDE SEQUENCES WHICH CODE FOR THE fadD15 GENE

RESPONSE TO WRITTEN RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

A response to the Office Action dated June 24, 2002 is due on July 24, 2002.

Therefore, please accept this response as timely filed.

As an initial matter, Applicants note this application is part of a series of applications associated with the Bulk Filing Petition now of record in U.S. Patent Application No. 09/954,197. Applicants note from U.S. PTO papers recently received that these applications appear to be predominantly channeled to the enzyme technology art unit, Art Unit 1646.

Applicants merely bring this to the Examiner's attention as a significant number of IDS documents are prospectively to be made of record in the Bulk Filing Petition filed in U.S. Serial No. 09/954,197. As a matter of administrative efficiency, Applicants respectfully note that the Examiner may want to consider consolidating this application with those in Art Unit 1646 for examination on the merits.

In response to the Restriction Requirement, as set forth in the Office Action dated June 24, 2002, Applicants hereby elect the following group:

Group I, claim 1-12, 23 and 24.

Applicants respectfully request that all of the claims be re-joined and examined with the claims of Group I. A search for the claims in the remaining Groups would not be substantially burdensome after a search for the claims in Group I as all the claims involve the sequence information associated with the fadD15 gene.

A search for the subject matter in Group I is inextricably intertwined with the subject matter in the claims of the other Groups. Any search for the claims in Group I will necessarily yield the relevant art for consideration and examination of the claims in the other Groups. Hence an extension of the search required for Group I to all the remaining claims would not be overly burdensome. See MPEP 804.

Accordingly, the basis for Restriction is not adequately established; a search for all the claims is not burdensome; and maintaining this Restriction Requirement will impose undue expense on Applicants in prosecuting multiple applications, otherwise examinable together according to U.S. PTO Patent Examining Procedure. In addition, maintaining this Restriction Requirement may result in undue delay in issue of some claims in this Application, resulting in a significant loss in Patent Term to Applicants.

Reconsideration and Rejoinder of all the claims is respectfully requested prior to examination on the merits. This Election is made with traverse, and solely for compliance under 37 CFR 1.143 in order to preserve pendency in this application.

If any additional fees are due in connection with the filing of this Response, such as fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17, the Commissioner is authorized to charge SGR Deposit

Account No. 02-4300; Order No. 032301.1181. Similarly, please credit any overpayment SGR
Deposit Account No. 02-4300; Order No. 032301.1181.

Respectfully submitted,

SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP

By: 
Robert G. Weilacher, Reg. No 20,531
1850 M Street, N.W., Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20036
Telephone: (202) 659-2811
Facsimile: (202) 263-4329

Dated: July 22, 2002
RGW/BLN/mef